

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,488	04/27/2005	Wilhelmus Gerardus Jacobus Van Helden	Q83885	5393
23373 7590 03/02/2009 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			EXAM	INER
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			MOWLA, GOLAM	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	. ,		1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/509 488 VAN HELDEN ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit GOLAM MOWLA 1795 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	f the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

Application/Control Number: 10/509,488 Page 2

Art Unit: 1795

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

 This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

Applicant needs to elect one of the following patentably distinct species:

- Species A<sub>1</sub> (embodiment of fig. 1 and 3); and
- Species A<sub>2</sub> (embodiment of fig. 2).

Upon election of one species from above, Applicant further needs to elect one of following distinct species:

- Species B<sub>1</sub>, which requires the VAREM layer (4) is built up of, in succession, a metal alloy, a solid electrolyte and an electrode, in which VAREM layer (4) is enveloped by a closed hydrogen atmosphere (specification, page 7, lines 10-15) (appears to be claims 12 and 14-16);
- Species B<sub>2</sub>, which requires the VAREM layer (4) is built up of, in succession, a metal alloy, a solid electrolyte, a storage electrode, a top electrode, and a hydrogen-impermeable layer (specification, page 7, lines 15-19) (appears to be claims 13 and 17-19).

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply Application/Control Number: 10/509,488

Art Unit: 1795

must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Species  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are distinct from each other, since species  $A_2$  requires formation of a space between the photovoltaic unit and VAREM layer, whereas species  $A_1$  does not (see fig. 1 and 2). Species  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are distinct from each other because Species  $B_1$  requires the VAREM layer (4) is built up of, in succession, a metal alloy, a solid electrolyte and an electrode, in which VAREM layer (4) is enveloped by a closed hydrogen atmosphere (specification, page 7, lines 10-15), whereas Species  $B_2$  requires the VAREM layer (4) is built up of, in succession, a metal alloy, a solid electrolyte, a storage electrode, a top electrode, and a hydrogenimpermeable layer (specification, page 7, lines 15-19)

The following claim(s) are generic: claim 1.

- 3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the common technical features in all the species are the limitation in claim 1. These elements cannot be a special technical feature under PCT rule 13.2 because the elements are known in the prior art US 545754 (col. 27, line 64 through col. 29, line 14; fig. 16-18).
- A telephone call was made to Brian Hannon on 01/29/2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/509,488

Art Unit: 1795

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Correspondence/Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to GOLAM MOWLA whose telephone number is (571) 270-5268. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 0900-1700 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ALEXA NECKEL can be reached on (571) 272-1446. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/509,488 Page 5

Art Unit: 1795

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/G. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 1795

/Alexa D. Neckel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795